

The Swedish Fiscal Policy Framework


Institute for International
Economic Studies, IIES



Sweden during recent crisis


- Despite a drop of GDP of 7% and a typically high fiscal balance elasticity, Sweden
 - Moderate drop in the fiscal balance.
 - Modest maximum deficit .7%. Now surplus
 - Recovered to pre-crisis GDP in 2010.
- Key explanations:
 - Strong budget before crisis due to well-functioning fiscal framework.
 - No structural imbalances – no domestic amplifications mechanisms.
 - Luck.

Public financial savings % of GDP 1976-2011



— Local

Public financial savings % of GDP 1976-2011



— Total (middle/right gov.)
— Total (left gov.)

— Local

Institute for International
Economic Studies, IIES



Key components of Swedish Fiscal Framework

- Top-down approach to budget both in government and parliament.
 - 1. decisions on aggregate spending and income, 2. aggregate decisions on 27 spending areas, 3. detailed decisions. (*against common pool problems*)
- Three-year decisions on nominal spending ceilings including all expenses (excl. interest payments). (*against time inconsistency*)
- Decisions on level of pre-specified budget buffer for unexpected expenses instead of "loose budget items".
- Surplus target of 1% surplus over the business cycle. (*against deficit bias*).
- Balanced budget requirement on local governments.
- Since 2007 a Fiscal Policy Council with a broad remit. (*facilitate transparency and accountability*)

Has it worked?

- Generally successful implementation;
 - Top-down approach is followed.
 - Spending ceilings have not been passed (albeit some minor, and politically costly, creative bookkeeping).
 - Surplus target also met.
 - Broad political support. Opposition wanted more spending during crisis, but less than 1% of GDP.
 - Some recent heated controversies between finance minister and FPC, but with the latter proposing *higher* spending.

Lessons from Sweden

- **Mandate for change**
 - A broad political *and* popular support for fiscal reform is a necessary precondition.
 - Requires wide understanding of intertemporal budget constraint.
 - “Someone” else is not going to pay. Crisis can be a trigger.
- **Institutional change** works both by
 - **cementing** – increasing institutional memory of consequences of unsound fiscal policy. Building reputational costs of deviations.
 - **facilitating** – top-down approach in budgeting. FPC increases transparency facilitates accountability.
- **All reasons** for deficit bias need to be identified and addressed.
 - Common pool, information, time consistency.

Violations of G&S Pact

Member states with excessive deficits since 1999 or from year of entry

